IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SCI COLLABORATION, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, NIKKI BUZZETTA, and KEN LUNA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPORTS CAR INTERNATIONAL, LLC, an Oregon limited liability company, and JOHN MICHAEL SHUMATE,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-170-AC

ORDER

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge John V. Acosta issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on January 13, 2022. Judge Acosta recommended that this Court dismiss this action without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure of Plaintiff SCI Collaboration, LLC to obtain counsel. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (Act), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court

shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings

or recommendations to which objection is made." Id.; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate judge's

findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge Acosta's

Findings and Recommendation, ECF 51. The Court DISMISSES the action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 31st day of January, 2022.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – ORDER